

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/777,286	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GUPTA ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b> ANDREW LAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2416

**All Participants:**

(1) ANDREW LAI (Examiner).

(2) KEVIN GRANGE (Applicant's Representative).

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2008

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Morning

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1,7,15,20,23 and 29*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to Mr. Grange an Examiner's Amendment based on Applicant's After-Final Amendment of 10/7/2008 to put this case in condition for allowance. Details of the Examiner's Amendment were discussed. Mr. Grange orally authorized the proposed Examiner's Amendment, which were later further finalized via written communications between Mr. Grange and the Examiner, and Mr. Grange approved in writing the final version of the Examiner's Amendment.